## CALIFORNIA LEGISLATURE

STATE CAPITOL

Room 4139 SACRAMENTO, CA 95814 (916) 319-2006 FAX (916) 319-2106

## **DISTRICT OFFICE**

3501 Civic Center Drive Suite 412 San Rafael, CA 94903 (415) 479-4920 FAX (415) 479-2123



Jared Huffman
ASSEMBLYMEMBER, 6<sup>TH</sup> DISTRICT

**CHAIR,** Environmental Safety & Toxic Materials Committee

**COMMITTEES** 

Appropriations Utilities and Commerce Water Parks and Wildlife

March 13, 2008

A.G. Kawamura, Secretary California Department of Food and Agricultutre 1220 N Street, Room 400 Sacramento, California 95814

Dear Secretary Kawamura:

I am writing to request your suspension of the proposal by the California Department of Food and Agriculture (CDFA) to conduct aerial spraying this summer using a pesticide that has not been tested for human health or environmental effects in an attempt to eradicate the Light Brown Apple Moth (LBAM).

Through recent legislative oversight activities, and scrutiny by the environmental community and concerned citizens, new information has come to light that not only underscores public health and environmental concerns about the proposed aerial spraying, but also calls into question the very premise of CDFA's LBAM eradication program. This information includes, among other things:

- New research and opinions from University of California scientists indicating that LBAM may not pose a serious economic or ecological problem, and that any threat of crop damage would best be addressed by selective pest management strategies in agricultural areas rather than aerial spraying in urban areas.
- ➤ Reports of hundreds of people with health complaints in Santa Cruz and Monterey Counties, who were subject to the aerial spray program in September, October, and November, 2007.
- New expert opinions indicating that CDFA's aerial spraying program will not achieve its goal of eradicating LBAM from California.
- Acknowledgments by CDFA officials that even in infested areas, due to the moth's very limited mobility, LBAM populations are not expected to spread more than a few hundred yards over the course of a year calling into question why CDFA is rushing forward with the extreme measure of aerially spraying an untested pesticide over urban areas, instead of taking the time to evaluate potential health and environmental effects and explore alternatives to aerial spraying.

For these reasons, I ask you to suspend the aerial spraying program and take the time to develop a more thoughtful, reasoned approach to addressing the LBAM issue, which would also allow enough time for the legislature to vote on the various LBAM-related bills that were introduced last month.

Working cooperatively with the Legislature, I believe CDFA can develop and implement an LBAM program that reflects sound science and protects our health, environment and economy. For that to happen, your leadership is needed to reconsider the proposal to conduct aerial spraying this summer.

Sincerely,

JARED HUFFMAN, Chair

Assembly Environmental Safety and Toxics Materials Committee